

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,175	01/20/2004	Sau Chu Chan	CA920030066US1	2047
58139 IBM CORP. (*	7590 05/29/200 WSM)	8	EXAM	UNER
c/o WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C.			GARG, YOGESH C	
P.O. BOX 507 DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## 10/761,175 CHAN ET AL. Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Office Action Gainmary	Examiner	Art Unit	1				
	Yogesh C. Garg	3625	I				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  If NO prind for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the ast or estanded period for reply will by statuted Any reply received by the Officio later than three months after the mailin earmed patter form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 CFR.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  tely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	lay 2008.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under I	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1.9 and 12</u> is/are pending in the appli	antion						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will from contractation.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1.9 and 12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
	·						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	s have been received in Application	on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					

- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/CS)
  - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/20/04,9/19/07,2/29/08.

- Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.
- 6) Other:

Art Unit: 3625

#### DETAILED ACTION

 Preliminary amendment filed 5/16/2008 is entered. Claims 1, 9 and 12 are amended and claims 2-8, 10-11 and 13-20 are canceled. Claims 1, 9 and 12 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of materia, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 1 is directed to disembodied data structure claim which is per se not statutory. C.f. In re Wamerdam. The preamble of the independent claim 1 and all its recited limitations are directed to an ecommerce catalog and its contents which actually represent mere non-functional disembodied data structure which on its own cannot provide a tangible, concrete and useful result. The examiner suggests redrafting the claim to include a processor coupled to a memory storing the catalog data and a computer program/code to make the e-commerce catalog available to a user. A claim to a computer readable medium encoded with functional descriptive material that can function with a computer/processor to effect a practical application resulting in a useful, concrete an tangible result (i.e. running an assembly line or executing a stock transaction) satisfies Section 101. See U.S. Patent 5,710,578 to Beauregard

Art Unit: 3625

etc. i.e., a set of instructions in combination with a computer system. C.f. In re Wamerdam - data structure stored in a computer memory, and In re Lowery, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994) - data structure in a computer readable medium.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

### 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claim 9, as best understood, it appears that the claimed method steps could simply be performed by mental process alone and are not statutory. The following passage comes from In re Comiskey, 499 F.3d 1365, 84 USPQ2d 1670 (Fed. Cir. Sep. 20, 2007):

It is thus clear that the present statute does not allow patents to be issued on particular business systems—such as a particular type of arbitration—that depend entirely on the use of mental processes. In other words, the patent statute does not allow patents on particular systems that depend for their operation on human intelligence alone, a field of endeavor that both the framers and Congress intended to be beyond the reach of patentable subject matter. Thus, it is established that the application of human intelligence to the solution of practical problems is not in and of itself natentable.

The independent claim 9 is directed towards steps of "providing different types products such as master targeted product, targeted product and a non targeted product for a catalog", "making those products available to different group of customers" and " determining the master targeted product set and targeted product set in accordance with terms and conditions of an agreement". Since the claims are directed to a process

Art Unit: 3625

(a) without transforming underlying subject matter to a different state or thing and (2)without including another statutory class of invention (i.e. machine, manufacture, or composition of matter), these claims fall within the scope of human intelligence alone, and are non-statutory.

The examiner suggests, as an example only, to include a processor/computer/machine coupled to a memory and/or a computer network system to implement the recited functional steps.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Flaxer et al., hereinafter Flaxer.

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Regarding claim 1, Flaxer discloses an e commerce catalog capable of offering a plurality of products for a vendor to prospective customers, comprising:

a master targeted product set of selected products that is not to be available to non targeted customers, a targeted product set for a targeted customer; and a non targeted product set available to targeted customers (see at least paragraphs 0008 and 0024-0027.

The subset catalog products and prices for group entitlement correspond to the

Art Unit: 3625

recited master targeted product set of selected products.

The subset catalog products of the customizable solution bundle supporting a unique range of functions including product customization based on programmed business rules correspond to the recited targeted product set for a targeted customer.

The subset catalog products of the customizable solution bundle spanning multiple groups being made available to any customer correspond to the recited a non targeted product set available to targeted customers.);

wherein said non targeted product set is available to public customers (see at least paragraphs 0008 and 0024-0027. The subset catalog products of the customizable solution bundle spanning multiple groups being made available to any customer and "any customer" includes public customers.);

wherein said catalog presents views of products from targeted product sets to respective targeted customers (see at least paragraphs 0008 and 0024-0027. The subset catalog products of the customizable solution bundle supporting a unique range of functions including product customization based on programmed business rules correspond to the recited targeted product set for a targeted customer and thus the customizable solution bundle presents the views of targeted product sets to targeted customers being supported by programmed business rules);

wherein said catalog presents a view of products from non targeted product sets to targeted customers and public customers (see at least paragraphs 0008 and 0024-0027. The subset catalog products of the customizable solution bundle spanning multiple groups being made available and presented to any customer and "any

Art Unit: 3625

customer" includes public customers as well as targeted customers);

wherein said master targeted product set is determined in accordance with terms and conditions of trading agreements between said vendor and said targeted customers (see at least paragraph 0024. The entitle group support subset products are determined by a sanctioned business process and tools satisfying both front end and back end fulfillment systems); and

wherein each said targeted product set is determined in accordance with the terms and conditions of a trading agreement between said vendor and a respective targeted customer (see at least paragraph 0026. The subset catalog products of the customizable solution bundle supporting a unique range of functions including product customization are based on programmed business rules).

Regarding claims 9 and 12, their limitations are closely parallel to the limitations of claim 1 and are therefore analyzed and rejected on the basis of same rationale as set forth for claim 1 above.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh C. Garg whose telephone number is 571-272-6756. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flex/Hoteling. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yogesh C Garg Primary Examiner Art Unit 3625

/Yogesh C Garg/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625